| did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sur | imary by the examiner appea | ais iii Fait ii abuve. |
|---|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separ | | |
| directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separ | rate record of the substance | of the interview, since the interview |
| Part III. | | |
| Applicant was advised that the office has not received a resconfirmed that a response has not been filed. | ponse to the NON-FINAL office | action mailed 2/18/2005. Applicant |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE G | ENERAL NATURE OF WHA | T WAS DISCUSSED: |
| Part II. | | |
| Thorait documents discussed. | | · |
| Prior art documents discussed: | | |
| Claim's discussed. | | |
| Claims discussed: | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: | | |
| Part I. | | |
| If Yes, provide a brief description: | | · |
| Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No | priorition of the content of | |
| ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Ap | onlicant's representative) | |
| Type of Interview: ⊠ Telephonic | | |
| Date of Interview: 30 August 2005 | Time: | |
| (2) <u>Stephen Gurey</u> . | (4) | |
| (1) <u>Sean Reilly</u> . | (3) | |
| All Participants: | Status of Applicatio | n: |
| | Sean Reilly | 2153 |
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | 09/943,133 | SILVA ET AL. |
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) |